arXiv:q-bio/0503016v1 [q-bio.CB] 11 Mar 2005

Simulation of stem cell survival in small crypts

Dietrich Stauffer* and Eytan Domany

Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science
76100 Rehovot, Israel

*Visiting from Institute for Theoretical Physics, Cologne University,
D-50923 Cologne, Euroland

Abstract

Monte Carlo simulations of the number of stem cells in human colon crypts allow for fluctuations which kill the population after sufficiently long times.

Experiments and computer simulations [1, 2] have elucidated the fate of stem cells and of maturing cells within small crypts in the human colon. The present note restricts itself on the simulation of the number of cells, not on their genealogy.

Yatabe et al. [1, 2] assume that at each iteration ("day") each stem cell splits into two stem cells with probability q, into two maturing cells with the same probability q, and into one stem cell plus one maturing cell with probability $p = 1 - 2q$. The special case $p = 1,\ q = 0$ is deterministic and not dealt with by us. For simplicity we assume $p = 0$, which means $q = 1/2$ in the model studied by Yatabe et al.

As soon as probabilities larger than zero and smaller than one are used, one introduces fluctuations. Sometimes the fluctuations can become very large and in the present model kill all stem cells [3]. From that moment on the crypt remains without stem cells, and after several iterations also the remaining maturing cells will have reached their life span, causing the whole crypt to die. For a functioning biological system this death of the whole crypt should not happen too often within reasonable time. For infinitely long times, every finite system living according to the above rules will finally die out; we are interested here in the survival for 365 iterations (one "year").

In addition to the above random splitting, we also allow for random deaths happening with a probability of one percent per iteration for each cell, independent of age and type. Moreover, maturing cells die when their life span of three iterations is exceeded. In this case, the number of cells in a system of initially 100 million cells decreases by one percent per iteration, and we balance this decrease by making the model slightly asymmetric: With

probability $q_1 = 0.5 + b$ stem cells produce two stem cells each, and with probability $q_2 = 0.5 - b$ they produce two maturing cells each, with a small bias $b = 0.01$. Now an initial population of 10 million stem cells produces within a few iterations more than 100 million maturing cells, and from then on both numbers stay nearly the same for 100 iterations (Fig.1).

However, Yatabe et al applied their model to crypts with numbers N of stem cells as small as $N = 4$. In that case, extinction is the rule and not the exception: In one million cases simulated, we found survival of the whole crypt for 365 iterations in only 1, 5 and 12 percent for 4, 10 and 25 initial stem cells. The double-logarithmic Fig.2 shows the distribution of extinction times, which for long times seems to follow a power law with an effective exponent near –1.9 (straight line) [3].

We tried to improve the survival chances by replacing the above bias b by a linear feedback: The probability $q_2 = 1 - q_1$ for a stem cell to split into two maturing cells was taken as $N(t)/2N_0$ where $N(t)$ is the actual number of stem cells at iteration t and N_0 is the desired and initial number of such stem cells. Then for $N_0 = 4$ the situation got worse: None of the one million cases survived even to half a year; but for $N_0 = 10$ and 25 the survival chances increased to 26 and 99.95 percent, respectively. The semilogarithmic Fig.3 compares the distributions of extinction times in this feedback version with that of the previous constant bias b, for $N_0 = 4$, 10 and 25. (10 million samples for $N_0 = 25$.)

In summary, it is difficult to keep only four stem cells surviving for a long time, but for 10 and 25 stem cells, the linear feedback offers a good to excellent survival chance. All these fluctuation problems would vanish for the deterministic case $p = 1$.

The German-Israeli Foundation supported this collaboration.

Appendix

In this appendix we give some computational details of the programs available from stauffer@thp.uni-koeln.de: stem2.f (random) and stem3.f (restoring linear bias)

For the random choice without a bias depending on the actual number $N(t)$ of stem cells, in each iteration a first loop determines all the deaths due to the 1 % death probability and, for maturing cells, due to having surpassed the maximum lifespan of three. N_t is the total number of living cells, stem cells plus maturing cells. For this purpose, going backward from N_t to 1 in the index i for the individual cells, in the case of death individual i is

replaced by the last individual in the line, i.e. by the survivor i_{max} with the highest index. Replacing means to increase the number of deaths by one and to transfer the current age of i_{max} to i. At the end of that loop, N_t is diminished by the number of deaths.

Then a second loop from $i = 1$ to N_t simulates births in two steps. First, the number of births is increased by one. Second, a random number determines whether a stem cell splits into two maturing cells or into two stem cells; the first choice is always taken if i refers to a maturing cell. In the first case both new cells then have an age higher by one than the parent cell; in the second case both stem cells keep their age at zero. At the end of this second loop, N_t is increased by the number of births, and a third loop finds the number of stem cells (defined as having zero age). Then the numbers of deaths and births are reset to zero, and the next iteration starts.

For the biased version, with a probability of stem cells to divide into two maturing cells increasing linearly with the number of stem cells, the first (death) loop remains unchanged. Then the number N_t of surviving cells and the number $N(t)$ of surviving stem cells as well as the resulting probability $q_2 = N(t)/2N_0$ to split into two maturing cells are determined. With this constant q_2 valid for all cells $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_t$ at this iteration, the above birth loop (second loop for unbiased random version) produces new cells.

We also used a third version where, as in the Penna ageing model, deaths and births are not separated into two consecutive loops but combined [4]. The same bias, increasing linearly with $N(t)$ and constant for all cells within one iteration, is used. Then survival becomes somewhat easier, Fig.4, with 21 out of 10 million surviving one year at $N_0 = 4$, and 6 out of one million dying at $N_0 = 25$. With this method one may also use sequential updating of the restoring linear bias, that means the bias is not fixed during one whole iteration but fluctuates with the fluctuating stem cell number by being updated after every single stem cell division. Then 1.5 percent of ten million samples with $N_0 = 4$ survive one year. This survival fraction increases to 26 % if the restoring bias is applied only for $N(t) < N_0$ and not for $N(t) \geq N_0$.

References

[1] Y. Yatabe, S. Tavare, and D. Shibata, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 98, 10839 (2001); see also P. Calabrese, S. Tavaré and D. Shibata, Am. J. Pathol-

ogy 164, 1337 (2004) and K.-M. Kim, P. Calabrese, S. Tavaré and D. Shibata, *ibid.* 164, 1369 (2004).

[2] S. Ro and B. Rannala, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 98, 10519 (2001)

[3] S. Redner, *A Guide to First-Passage Processes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2001.

[4] S. Moss de Oliveira, P.M.C. de Oliveira and D. Stauffer, *Evolution, Money, War and Computers*, Teubner, Leipzig and Stuttgart 1999.



Figure 1: Number of maturing cells (top) and stem cells (bottom) for large populations.



Figure 2: Extinction times, increasing with increasing $N_0 = 4$, 10 and 25 (double-logarithmic plot using one million samples).



Figure 3: Semi-logarithmic plot of extinction times without (curves) and with (straight lines) linear restoring bias. For $N_0 = 25$ with bias, 10 million instead of one million samples were simulated.



Figure 4: Semi-logarithmic plot of extinction times in modified updating.